



Gatwick Airport Northern Runway Project

Environmental Statement

Appendix 11.9.6: Flood Risk Assessment – Annexes 1-2

Book 5

VERSION: 1.0

DATE: JULY 2023

Application Document Ref: 5.3

PINS Reference Number: TR020005

Annex 1

Fluvial Mitigation Measures Indicative Designs





Figure 10.1.1 Preliminary Museum Field FCA Design



Code Assigned: CODE_X

Code 1 - Work may proceed
 Code 2 - Revise and resubmit. Work may proceed subject to incorporation of comments indicated
 Code 3 - Revise and resubmit. Work may NOT proceed

Permission to proceed does not constitute acceptance or approval of design details, calculations, analyses, test methods, or materials developed or selected by the contractor/supplier, and does not relieve contractor/supplier from full compliance with contractual obligations or release any "holds" placed on the contract.

Authorised Signatory: AUTHORISED SIGNATORY
 Date Signed: DATE_SIGNED

Code Comments:
 CODING_COMMENTS

This drawing may contain some Ordnance Survey Landline and Name data.
 OS Copyright Acknowledgement:
 Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMRO. © Crown Copyright and database right. Licence No: 100048899

Associated drawings:
 20883-RPS-GAL-XX-C-SK010 Plan Cut and Fill Isohypytes

File No	18092322	First Issue	18/09/2022	Rev	01	Drawn	DMB	Checked	DMB
Date	18/09/2022	Revision Description		Dim	Chk	App			

rps MAKING COMPLEX EASY
 Sherwood House, Sherwood Avenue, Newark, Nottinghamshire, N24 1QG
 T: 01636 605 700 E: rpsnewark@rpsgroup.com

YOUR LONDON AIRPORT
Gatwick

Project Name: Noise Bund Land Scaping Design
 File: Gatwick Museum Field Mitigation Design Sections through FAC, Channel and Bund

Process Function Code (PFC)	DMB	DMB Project No	20883				
Process Function Code Description	Taxways (& Block Pavements)	Originator's Job No	20883				
Drawn By	RPS	Team Lead	03.05.2022				
Checked By		Checked Date		Drawn By		Status	Released
Approved By	DMB	Approval Date		Scale	1:500	Reason for Issue	

20883-RPS-GAL-XX-C-SK011 -

ISO A1 Landscape

Document Uncontrolled when printed

Figure 10.1.2 Preliminary Design Museum Field FCA Section

- NOTES**
1. THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED TO A HIGH-LEVEL REPRESENTING RIBA STAGE 2 OR EQUIVALENT
 2. WORKING AREAS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION AREAS ARE NOT SHOWN WITHIN THE DRAWING.
 3. ALL BASE MAPPING AND TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY INFORMATION HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY GAL. NO GUARANTEE CAN BE GIVEN BY JACOBS AS TO ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS. THE LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND SERVICES SHOULD BE VERIFIED ON SITE BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORKS.
 4. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE. ALL LEVELS ARE TO METRES A.O.D.
 5. DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING.
 6. THIS DRAWING TO BE READ IN COLOUR.
 7. FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION AREAS ARE INDICATIVE AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE AS A RESULT OF FURTHER HYDRAULIC MODELLING. AREAS AND OPERATION OF THE STORAGE AREAS TO BE CONFIRMED AND SUBJECT TO AGREEMENT WITH ENVIRONMENT AGENCY.
 8. ACCESS ROUTE FROM EXISTING ROAD TO NEW LOWERED CAR PARK AREAS TO BE VIA ACCESS RAMPS. NUMBER AND LOCATION TO BE CONFIRMED AT NEXT DESIGN STAGE.

SAFETY, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

In addition to the hazards/risks normally associated with the types of work detailed on this drawing, note the following:

CONSTRUCTION


1. ENSURE ADEQUATE SEGREGATION OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES FROM AIRPORT OPERATIONS AND LIVE CARRIAGEWAYS.
2. IDENTIFY AND LOCATE EXISTING SERVICES. ALL BURIED SERVICES TO BE ISOLATED/PROTECTED PRIOR TO START OF WORKS.
3. IMPLEMENT SAFETY MEASURES FOR WORKING NEAR WATER AND WITHIN FLOOD ZONE.

MAINTENANCE / CLEANING

- HAZARDS 1 AND 3 AS ABOVE.
- 3B. ALL MAINTENANCE WORKS TO BE UNDERTAKEN ABOVE WATER LEVELS.

DECOMMISSIONING / DEMOLITION

- HAZARDS 1, 2 AND 3 AS ABOVE
- It is assumed that all works will be carried out by a competent contractor working, where appropriate, to an approved method statement



This drawing may contain some Coronavirus (COVID-19) related information.

OS Copyright: Ordnance Survey. Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSSO. It Crown Copyright and Database right.

Model File: Bldgworks 1 (a - 38) (Bldg Model Name (including part))_Visum & Area OS Mastermap March 2016

LEGEND

- FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION AREA EDGE AND GRADING
- POTENTIAL CONNECTION TO WATERCOURSE AREA
- EXISTING LEVELS
- PROPOSED LEVELS
- INDICATIVE OUTFALL ROUTE
- MAINTENANCE ACCESS ROUTE

SECTION A-A

SCALE 1:100

LEVEL (mAOD)	RIVER MOLE																																																			
70.00																																																				
65.00																																																				
60.00																																																				
55.00																																																				
	1:6																																																			
	9.21	19.93	29.93	40.52	50.79	61.07	71.11	81.46	91.89	102.17	112.44	122.72	132.96	142.96	153.55	163.82	174.10	184.14	194.49	204.92	215.20	225.48	235.75	245.99	255.99	266.58	276.85	287.13	297.17	307.52	317.96	328.23	338.51	348.76	359.01	369.02	379.61	389.89	400.10	410.21	420.55	430.99	441.20									
CHAINAGE (Chm)																																																				
EXISTING	-58.95	-58.11	-58.20	-59.02	-58.88	-58.80	-58.88	-58.92	-58.74	-58.60	-58.56	-58.57	-58.48	-58.56	-58.65	-58.74	-58.80	-58.90	-58.96	-59.21	-59.48	-59.50	-59.50	-59.35	-59.15	-59.11	-59.29	-59.52	-59.67	-59.57	-59.53	-59.69	-59.69	-59.50	-59.67	-59.50	-59.50	-59.84	-59.88	-59.85	-59.85	-59.88	-59.90	-59.83								
PROPOSED																																																				

SECTION B-B

SCALE 1:100

LEVEL (mAOD)	CRAWTER'S BROOK																																																			
75.00																																																				
70.00																																																				
65.00																																																				
60.00																																																				
55.00																																																				
	1:6																																																			
	9.57	19.77	31.10	41.24	51.99	62.30	72.57	82.90	93.20	103.51	113.66	123.81	134.41	144.72	154.75	165.09	175.62	185.93	196.23	206.37	216.83	227.14	237.44	247.65	258.04	268.35																										
CHAINAGE (Chm)																																																				
EXISTING LEVELS	-59.40	-59.41	-59.34	-59.43	-56.63	-59.22	-59.32	-58.90	-59.59	-59.84	-59.69	-59.66	-59.64	-59.59	-59.57	-59.53	-59.48	-59.40	-59.47	-59.71	-59.55	-59.58	-59.68	-59.61	-59.62	-59.86																										
PROPOSED LEVELS																																																				

A.1	34850022	For Client Comment	207	207	MS	RS
Rev	Rev	Revision Description	Dim	Chk	Rev	App

Why Post

© Copyright 2007 Jacobs U.K. Limited. This drawing is the intellectual property of Jacobs U.K. Limited. It is not to be used, copied, reproduced, or otherwise disseminated without the prior written consent of Jacobs U.K. Limited. The drawing is provided for your information only and does not constitute an offer of any service. Jacobs U.K. Limited is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this drawing. The drawing is provided for your information only and does not constitute an offer of any service. Jacobs U.K. Limited is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this drawing.

JACOBS

1180 ESKDALE ROAD, WINNERSH, WOKINGHAM, RG41 5TU, ENGLAND

TEL: +44(0)118 946 7000 FAX: +44(0)118 946 7001

WEBSITE: WWW.JACOBS.COM

Project Name: NORTHERN RUNWAY PROJECT

Title: FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION AREA CAR PARK X

Project Name/Contract Description	Document Number
GENESIS	GALCTC25-JAC-ALL-00-DR-FRM-101
Revision Number	A.2
Date Assigned	
Code 1: Work may proceed	
Code 2: Review and resubmit. Work may proceed subject to incorporation of comments included	
Code 3: Review and resubmit. Work may NOT proceed	
Code 4: Review not required. Work may proceed	
Comments to proceed must not contradict acceptance or approval of design details, calculations, analysis, test methods, or materials developed or selected by the contractor/supplier, and does not release contractor/supplier from full compliance with contractual obligations or release any 'hold' placed on the contract.	
Signature: _____ Date Signed: _____	
Alternative Reference:	

Common Language Series	GALCTC25-JAC-ALL-00-DR-FRM-101	Gal. Project No.	
Common Language System	GALCTC25-JAC-ALL-00-DR-FRM-101	Signature/Date No.	GALCTC25
Project Owner	JACOBS	Issue Date	31/03/2022
Created By	MS	Checked Date	31/03/2022
Checked By	MS	Drawn By	JWIRT
Approval by	MS	Approval Date	31/03/2022
Signature:		Date	
Revision		Reason for Issue	
Project Name/Contract Description	GALCTC25-JAC-ALL-00-DR-FRM-101	Revision	A.2

Figure 10.1.3 Preliminary Design Car Park X FCA




Figure 10.1.4 New southwest area Project drainage network




Figure 10.1.5 River Mole Realignment

Annex 2

Surface Access Highways Surface Water Drainage Strategy

Introduction

- A2.1 The surface water drainage strategy for the proposed highway works associated with the Project has been developed in consultation with West Sussex County Council (WSCC) and Surrey County Council (SCC) as Local Lead Flood Authorities (LLFAs) and the National Highways SES team. The key aspects of the drainage strategy are set out below with this information having informed this FRA assessment.
- A2.2 The report is based on the final preliminary design submitted as part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) submission.
- A2.3 The report addresses the drainage strategy relating to the permanent highway works only and does not deal with the temporary construction works and associated drainage considerations. The **ES Appendix 5.3.2: CoCP Annex 1 – Water Management Plan** (Doc Ref. 5.3) sets out how water and drainage would be managed during construction. An appropriate drainage strategy would be developed to ensure all flood risks related to construction activities would be mitigated or safely managed within the Project site boundary.
- A2.4 Highway mitigation proposals have been developed to accommodate the anticipated increase in traffic volumes associated with the planned Airport developments. Proposals include junction improvements at the North Terminal roundabout, South Terminal roundabout and Longbridge roundabout. The indicative programme is for construction of these works to take place between 2028 and 2032.

Existing Drainage

- A2.5 Existing drainage information was obtained from various sources including Highways Agency Drainage Data Management System (HADDMS), design/as-built drawings, drainage data from GAL, topographical survey (LiDAR point cloud), OS mapping and site walkovers. Limited areas had no information of existing drainage. For these areas, an estimation was made using best engineering judgment.
- A2.6 In total, 18 existing drainage networks have been identified. Each network outfalls to various surface water bodies. The existing catchments are illustrated in **ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.10** (Doc Ref. 5.3).
- A2.7 The highways improvement elements of the Project interact with the following watercourses designated as a 'Main River' by the Environment Agency: Burstow Stream, Haroldslea Stream,

Gatwick Stream, River Mole and Withy Brook. It also interacts with various ordinary watercourses.

Longbridge Roundabout

- A2.8 The highway authorities responsible for maintaining the existing highway drainage systems are WSCC for the A23 London Road and SCC for the Longbridge roundabout and the other three associated roads, i.e. A23 Brighton Road, A217 and Povey Cross Road.
- A2.9 There are seven drainage networks (drainage network 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) in this section.
- A2.10 The highway runoff is collected by kerb and gully, combined kerb drainage (CKD) and iron shallow bridge deck units systems. The gullies and CKD are believed to be connected to ditches which convey the runoff to River Mole for Longbridge roundabout, A23 London Road and Brighton Road. The A217's gullies are believed to be connected to a ditch which convey the runoff to a tributary of Withy Brook. The outfall for Povey Cross network is unknown.

North Terminal Roundabout

- A2.11 The highway authorities responsible for maintaining the existing highway drainage systems are WSCC for the A23 London Road; GAL for the airport access roads connecting to North Terminal roundabout; and National Highways (NH) for the North Terminal roundabout, A23 London Road merge and diverge connections to North Terminal roundabout, Airport Way and A23 London Road diverge to Airport Way eastbound, which form part of the Strategic Road Network.

- A2.12 There are four drainage networks (drainage network 4, 5, 6 and 7) in this section.
- A2.13 The highway runoff is collected by kerb and gully and kerb inlets system. These gullies and kerb inlets are connected to carrier drains / ditches and outfall to River Mole for all drainage networks except for the A23 London Road diverge to Airport Way eastbound which outfalls to Gatwick Stream. Drainage network 7 (GAL's roads) benefit from existing attenuation (Gatwick Pond D).

South Terminal Roundabout

- A2.14 The highway authorities responsible for maintaining the existing highway drainage systems are GAL for the Ring Road North and South airport access road connection to South Terminal roundabout; NH for the South Terminal roundabout, M23 Spur motorway and Airport Way; SCC for B2036 Balcombe Road

North of M23 Spur; and WSCC for B2036 Balcombe Road South of M23 Spur.

- A2.15 There are seven drainage networks (drainage network 3, 2a, 2, 1b, 1a, 1 and 0) in this section.

The highway runoff is collected by kerb and gully, CKD and slot drainage systems. These are connected to carrier pipes / ditches. All drainage networks west of the Balcombe Road underbridge outfall to Gatwick Stream. Drainage network 3 (GAL's roads) benefit from existing attenuation (Gatwick Pond F). Drainage network of the M23 Spur also benefits from existing attenuation (Pond 8-5) prior to discharging its runoff to a tributary of Burstow Stream. One gully immediately east of Airport Way Rail Bridge directly outfall to an unnamed watercourse. Likewise, a gully immediately east of Balcombe Road underbridge outfall to Haroldslea Stream. Runoff from Balcombe Road is also believed to outfall to Haroldslea Stream.

Drainage Design Proposals

- A2.16 The proposed preliminary drainage design layout including details of proposed attenuation features is shown in **ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.6 to Figure 10.1.9** (Doc Ref 5.3). The proposed preliminary design catchments are illustrated in **ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.11** (Doc Ref. 5.3).

Proposed Highway Works

- A2.17 The proposed highway works include a full grade separation upgrade of South Terminal Roundabout and modifications to the M23 Spur; a partial grade separation and signalisation works at North Terminal Roundabout; and roundabout widening and signalisation works at Longbridge Roundabout. A full description of the proposed highway works is set out in section 5.2 of **ES Chapter 5: Project Description** (Doc Ref. 5.1). The total net increase in paved areas as a result of the proposed highway work is 2.91 ha.

Surface Water Management and Sustainable Drainage System

- A2.18 Surface Water Management (SWM) proposals have been developed in consultation with the LLFAs (WSCC and SCC) and National Highways. It has also been developed in accordance with the LLFA's policies on SWM and Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) and the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) standard CG 501 and LA 113.

<p>A2.19 The existing outfalls to surface water bodies are proposed to be retained with provision for attenuation. Discharging to ground via infiltration is not assumed at this preliminary design stage due to the soil type (heavy clay) and lack of information on the ground water table and ground condition.</p>	<p>A2.28 The highway drainage is to be designed in accordance with DMRB CG 501 and LA 113 for National Highway (NH) unless agreed otherwise.</p>	<p>A2.35 Where a kerb is proposed due to adjacent footway provision or other reasons, kerb and gully systems shall be proposed where practical for ease of maintenance. This shall be designed in accordance with DMRB CD 526. Where not practical, CKD shall be proposed.</p>
<p>A2.20 The drainage strategy seeks to achieve an overall betterment to the existing outfalls on brownfield sites with climate change allowances. This will reduce the flood risks on the receiving surface water bodies.</p>	<p>A2.29 The final drainage design for NH assets shall be self-certified as per Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) CG 502 - The certification of drainage design at a future design stage and prior to construction of any drainage work in relation to the proposed surface access (highway) works associated with the Project.</p>	<p>A2.36 Grassed surface water channels (SWCs) or other open vegetative systems shall be selected as preferred solution at edge of the carriageway where possible. Where not practical, concrete SWCs shall be proposed for ease of maintenance. This shall be designed in accordance with DMRB CD 521.</p>
<p>A2.21 Where practical, the discharge from greenfield and brownfield sites are proposed to be limited to the 1 per cent (1 in 100) Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) (Q1) greenfield runoff rates (GRR) for the drainage networks within WSCC boundaries and limited to Q1, Q30 and Q100 GRR for the corresponding storm event for the drainage networks within SCC boundaries with climate change allowances. This is proposed to be achieved via proposed attenuation ponds, basins and swales.</p>	<p>A2.30 For highways drainage works to be adopted by WSCC and SCC, the requirements and approval process of each local authority shall be followed.</p>	<p>A2.37 Subsurface drainage shall be provided at lower edge of carriageway where needed.</p>
<p>A2.22 Where limiting to GRR is not practical, it is proposed to not exceed the existing discharge rate as a minimum requirement. Attenuation oversized pipes have been proposed to limit the discharge rates to existing for these drainage networks.</p>	<p>A2.31 Following guidance from the LLFAs which aligns with both DMRB guidance and industry good practice the proposed highway drainage design adopts the below hierarchy for the preferred method of discharge (in order of preference):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Infiltration to ground (subject to the outcome of further ground investigation to confirm ground infiltration rates, groundwater levels, potential contaminated land presence etc. and taking into account localised flood plains considerations) ▪ Discharge to a surface water body, ▪ Discharge to an existing public surface water sewer, ▪ Discharge to an existing highway drain, or other private drainage system, or ▪ Discharge to an existing foul or combined sewer where there are absolutely no other options, and only where agreed in advance with the relevant sewage undertaker. 	<p>A2.38 The proposed highway re-alignment of A23 London Road Diverge to Airport Way and changes to Airport Way requires the existing gullies to be re-aligned to the proposed pavement edge if possible or replaced with new gullies.</p>
<p>A2.23 Hydraulic models have been produced for the existing and proposed drainage networks. Betterment will be achieved in discharge rates to the River Mole and Gatwick Stream respectively as a result of the proposed drainage. Proposed net increased in paved areas for drainage networks that outfalls to River Mole and Gatwick Stream forms 90 per cent of the total net increase in paved area of the scheme. Betterment in these two watercourses will have a number of benefits including a reduced risk of flooding for these watercourses.</p>	<p>Highway Drainage Design Criteria</p>	<p>Land Drainage</p> <p>A2.39 The proposed highway works have limited impacts on existing land drainage. Land drainage is impacted to the southeast of the Balcombe Road underbridge south of the M23 Spur. A short section of the existing land drainage is proposed to be diverted before connecting to Haroldslea Stream as seen in ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.9 (Doc Ref. 5.3).</p>
<p>A2.24 Existing discharge rates to the tributary of Burstow Stream, Haroldslea Stream and the tributary of Withy Brook will not be exceeded as a result of the proposed drainage.</p>	<p>Allowance for Climate Change</p>	<p>Culverts</p> <p>A2.40 No culverts on Main Rivers are impacted by the proposed highway works of the Project.</p>
<p>A2.25 The design would ensure that the post development runoff would be managed within the site up to 1 in 100-year event with climate change allowance.</p>	<p>A2.32 Climate change allowances adopted for SWM are based on the Environment Agency's peak rainfall allowance map for the Mole Management Catchment. The 2070s epoch and upper end allowance was adopted which includes 40 per cent climate change allowance for peak rainfall of 1 per cent (1 in 100) AEP event, as well as 35 per cent for 3.33 per cent (1 in 30) AEP event.</p>	<p>A2.41 Balcombe Road underbridge is proposed to be converted to a single span bridge requiring culverting the ordinary watercourse on the western side of Balcombe Road as seen in ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.9 (Doc Ref. 5.3). There are two more short culverts proposed under proposed access from Balcombe Road for the same ordinary watercourse. These culverts shall be designed in accordance with WSCC LLFA Culvert Policy.</p>
<p>A2.26 Areas of paved catchment are proposed to be removed where practicable to reduce discharge rates and improve water quality to receiving watercourses.</p>	<p>A2.33 Climate change allowance adopted for drainage design is 20 per cent based on DMRB CG 501.</p>	<p>A2.42 Existing culverts EX-CU2 (refer to ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.9 (Doc Ref 5.3) for location under M23 Spur) and EX-CU4 (refer to ES Appendix 11.9.6 Figure 10.1.6 (Doc Ref 5.3) for location under A23 Brighton Road) are to be extended to accommodate proposed road widening at these locations. Further information on the condition and capacity of the existing culverts are to be obtained following completion of the DCO process to inform the detailed design proposals.</p>
<p>A2.27 Ponds, basins and swales shall be design in accordance with DMRB CD 532 and CIRIA (C753) SuDS Manual.</p>	<p>Runoff Collection Systems</p> <p>A2.34 Surface runoff collection systems have not been designed at this preliminary design stage.</p>	

Exceedance Routes

- A2.43 Drainage design should cope with events that exceeds design capacity of drainage networks so that excess runoff can be safely stored within the site without adverse impact.
- A2.44 Existing site benefits from flood defences from Gatwick Airport. These are proposed to be retained.
- A2.45 300mm freeboard shall be provided for all attenuation ponds and basins. Additionally, overflow weirs shall be provided in ponds, basins and proposed flow control chambers to cope with risk of blockage.

Water Quality

- A2.46 In accordance with DMRB LA 113, assessments for routine runoff and spillage risk have been undertaken using the Highways England Water Risk Assessment Tool (HEWRAT) to determine any pollution risk resulting from surface water routine runoff to the receiving water environment as a result of the proposed highways work of the Project. The final results of the HEWRAT assessment can be found in **ES Appendix 11.9.3: Water Quality HEWRAT Assessment** (Doc Ref. 5.3).
- A2.47 Each of the proposed drainage outfalls 'Pass' all parameters (Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for copper and zinc, Runoff Specific Thresholds (RST) 6-hour and 24-hour for copper and zinc and sediment-bound pollutants). Further information is included in the **ES Appendix 11.9.3: Water Quality HEWRAT Assessment** (Doc Ref. 5.3).

Conclusions

- A2.48 The proposed highways works to be undertaken as part of the Project would result in an increase in highways capacity and a corresponding increase in paved areas. Proposed highway works would be required at three locations: Longbridge Roundabout, North Terminal Junction and South Terminal Junction.
- A2.49 The additional runoff that would result from the increase in paved areas would be stored in new facilities and attenuated to achieve an overall betterment in discharge rates to reduce flood risk at receiving surface water bodies.




Figure 10.1.6 Preliminary Design Proposed Longbridge Roundabout Highways Drainage Layout




Figure 10.1.7 Preliminary Design Proposed North Terminal Roundabout Drainage Layout




Figure 10.1.8 Preliminary Design Proposed South Terminal Roundabout – West Drainage Layout




Figure 10.1.9 Preliminary Design Proposed Gatwick Spur / South Terminal Roundabout East Drainage Layout




Figure 10.1.10 Indicative Existing Highways Surface Water Catchments




Figure 10.1.11 Preliminary Design Proposed Highways Surface Water Catchments